



DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT 7

Statutory Report

For the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2022

Cindy Byrd, CPA

State Auditor & Inspector

DAVID PRATER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT 7

STATUTORY REPORT
BOGUS CHECK RESTITUTION PROGRAM
SUPERVISION FEE AND SUPERVISION FEE STATE REMITTANCE PROGRAMS
991 FEE AND 991 FEE STATE REMITTANCE PROGRAMS
RESTITUTION AND DIVERSION PROGRAM
DRUG ASSET FORFEITURE PROGRAM

FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2019 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2022



Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

March 14, 2024

David Prater, District Attorney District 7 Oklahoma County Courthouse Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

Transmitted herewith is the statutory report for the District Attorney of District 7, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma (the District) for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2022.

A report of this type is critical in nature; however, we do not intend to imply that there were not commendable features in the present accounting and operating procedures of the District.

The goal of the State Auditor and Inspector is to promote accountability and fiscal integrity in state and local government. Maintaining our independence as we provide this service to the taxpayers of Oklahoma is of utmost importance.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the assistance and cooperation extended to our office during our engagement.

Sincerely,

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

DAVID PRATER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT 7 STATUTORY REPORT FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2019 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introductory Information	i
Statutory Report of State Auditor and Inspector	1
Schedule of Findings and Responses	3

INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

BOGUS CHECK RESTITUTION PROGRAM

The bogus check program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 1982 as a special type of deferred prosecution program and every district attorney is required to operate a bogus check program. The program provides an alternative way to handle bogus check cases without any additional cost to courts, prosecutors, or the state prison system. The primary emphasis of the program is collecting restitution for the victim of the crime, rather than punishing the offender.

Bogus checks are a significant cost to business, a cost that is passed on to the consumer and paid by all citizens and taxpayers in the state. The program offers a manner to address criminal conduct without sending many offenders to state prisons.

SUPERVISION FEE AND SUPERVISION FEE STATE REMITTANCE PROGRAMS

The district attorney supervision fee program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 2005 as an alternative from supervision by the Department of Corrections. When the court imposes a deferred or a suspended sentence for any offense and does not order supervision by the Department of Corrections, the offender shall be required to pay the district attorney a monthly supervision fee. However, the legislation provides that in hardship cases, the district attorney shall expressly waive all or part of the fee.

Beginning on July 1, 2019, a supervision fee state remittance account was created pursuant to O.S. 22 § 991d (2) amended as follows, "Any fees collected by the district attorney pursuant to this paragraph shall be deposited in the General Revenue Fund of the State Treasury." Fees collected at the district offices are deposited monthly with the county treasurer and transferred monthly for annual budgeted appropriations at the state level.

991 FEE AND 991 FEE STATE REMITTANCE PROGRAMS

The district attorney 991 fee program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 2013. If the offender is *not* ordered supervision by the district attorney (as described above) "the offender shall be required to pay a fee to the district attorney's office during the first two (2) years of probation to compensate the district for the costs incurred during the prosecution of the offender and for the additional work of verifying the compliance of the offender with the rules and conditions of his or her probation". However, the legislation provides the district attorney may waive any part of this requirement in the best interests of justice.

Beginning on July 1, 2019, a 991 fee state remittance account was created pursuant to 22 O.S. § 991a (1)(ii) amended as follows, "Any fees collected by the district attorney pursuant to this paragraph shall be deposited in the General Revenue Fund of the State Treasury." Fees collected at the district offices are deposited monthly with the county treasurer and transferred monthly for annual budgeted appropriations at the state level.

DAVID PRATER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT 7 STATUTORY REPORT FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2019 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2022

RESTITUTION AND DIVERSION PROGRAM

The restitution and diversion program were created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 2001 as a special type of deferred prosecution program. The legislation required that each district attorney create such a program. The purpose of the program is to allow the district attorney the discretion to divert criminal complaints involving property crimes from criminal court and to collect restitution for victims.

The program allows the district attorney's office to receive, disburse, and monitor victim restitution payments. The program offers an alternative way to address criminal conduct.

DRUG ASSET FORFEITURE PROGRAM

The drug asset forfeiture program was created by the Oklahoma Legislature in 1971. The fund is not subject to fiscal year limitations and is to be used for enforcement of controlled dangerous substance laws, drug abuse prevention and education, and is maintained by the district attorney to be used at his or her discretion for those purposes. The revenues for said fund come from the proceeds of forfeited assets. Any cash, vehicles, real property, or other assets used in the commission of or acquired as a result of a crime as described in the Uniform Controlled Dangerous Substances Act is presumed to be forfeitable.



Cindy Byrd, CPA | State Auditor & Inspector

2300 N. Lincoln Blvd., Room 123, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 | 405.521.3495 | www.sai.ok.gov

Statutory Report

David Prater, District Attorney District 7 Oklahoma County Courthouse Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

For the purpose of complying with 74 O.S. § 212.E and 22 O.S. §§ 114, 991d, 991a(A)(1), 991.f-1.1, and 63 O.S. §§ 2-506, we have performed the following procedures as they relate to the records of the District Attorney's programs for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2022.

Bogus Check Restitution, Supervision Fee/State Remittance, 991 Fee/State Remittance, and Restitution and Diversion Programs:

- Determine that internal controls are designed and operating over the collections and disbursement process.
- Examine fees to determine that the correct fees are assessed, receipted, and deposited in compliance with 28 O.S. § 153, 22 O.S. §§ 114, 991d, 991a(A)(1), 991f-1.1, and 19 O.S. § 215.11.
- Determine whether disbursements are used to defray the expenses of the District Attorney's office in accordance with 22 O.S. §§ 114, 991d, 991a(A)(1), and 991f-1.1, and whether disbursements are supported by approved claims, invoices, and verification that goods or services paid for were received.
- Determine whether the District Attorney reconciles accounts with the County Treasurer's ledgers.
- Determine whether the District Attorney prepares and submits an annual report to the District Attorneys Council that reflects total collections and total disbursements for the Bogus Check Restitution, Supervision Fee/State Remittance, 991 Fee/State Remittance, Restitution and Diversion programs.

Drug Asset Forfeiture Program:

- Determine that internal controls are designed and operating over the collections and disbursement process.
- Determine that the District Attorney maintains a true and accurate inventory of all property seized in accordance with 63 O.S. § 2-506.K.
- Review sale documentation for selected cases to determine whether forfeited assets were sold after a public auction to the highest bidder in accordance with 63 O.S. §§ 2-506 and 2-508.
- Review the distribution of proceeds to determine the distribution was in accordance with court orders pursuant to 63 O.S. §§ 2-506.K and 2-508.
- Test disbursements to determine they are supported by approved claims, invoices, and independent verification that goods or services paid for were received.
- Determine whether the District Attorney prepares and submits an annual report to the District Attorneys Council reflecting the total collections, total disbursements, beginning and ending balances in accordance with 63 O.S. § 2-506.L.3.
- Determine if the District Attorney reconciles account balances with the County Treasurer.

All information included in the financial records of the Bogus Check Restitution, Supervision Fee/State Remittance, 991 Fee/State Remittance, Restitution and Diversion, and Drug Asset Forfeiture Programs are the representation of the District Attorney for their respective district.

Our engagement was limited to the procedures performed above and was less in scope than an audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on any basic financial statement of Oklahoma County.

Based on our procedures performed, we have presented our findings in the accompanying schedule.

This report is intended for the information and use of the District Attorney and District management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

CINDY BYRD, CPA

OKLAHOMA STATE AUDITOR & INSPECTOR

February 20, 2024

2022

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

Finding 2022-001 – Segregation of Duties Over the Bogus Check Restitution, Supervision Fee, 991 Fee, and Drug Asset Forfeiture Programs (Repeat Finding)

Condition: Upon inquiry of District Attorney staff and observation of records, the following instances of the lack of segregation of duties in personnel within District Attorney programs were noted:

• A lack of segregation of duties exists in the procedural process of the Bogus Check Restitution, Supervision Fee, 991 Fee, and Drug Asset Forfeiture Programs. One employee prepares and issues disbursements, prepares deposits, maintains ledgers, and reconciles monthly to the County Treasurer's accounting records. There is no indication of an independent review of the monthly reconciliations to ensure the accuracy and completeness of amounts reconciled to the County Treasurer.

Cause of Condition: Policies and procedures have not been fully implemented to properly separate key accounting functions within the District's programs.

Effect of Condition: A single person having responsibility for more than one area of recording, authorization, custody of assets, and execution of transactions could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, clerical errors, or misappropriation of funds not being detected in a timely manner.

Recommendation: The Oklahoma State Auditor & Inspector's Office (OSAI) recommends management be aware of these conditions and realize that concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desired from a control point of view. The most effective controls lie in management's overseeing of office operations and a periodic review of operations. OSAI recommends management provide segregation of duties so that no one employee is able to perform all accounting functions. In the event that segregation of duties is not possible due to limited personnel, OSAI recommends implementing compensating controls to mitigate the risks involved with a concentration of duties. Compensating controls would include separating key processes and /or critical functions of the office and having evidence of management review and approval of accounting functions.

Management Response:

District Attorney: We are developing policies and procedures for several of the office's accounting functions, including segregation of duties.

Criteria: The United States Government Accountability Office's *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government* (2014 version) aided in guiding our assessments and conclusion. Although this publication (GAO Standards) addresses controls in the federal government, this criterion can be treated as best practices and may be applied as a framework for an internal control system for state, local, and quasi-governmental entities.

DAVID PRATER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT 7 STATUTORY REPORT FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2019 THROUGH JUNE 30,

2022

The GAO Standards – Principle 10 – Design Control Activities – 10.03 states in part:

Segregation of duties

Management divides or segregates key duties and responsibilities among different people to reduce the risk of error, misuse, or fraud. This includes separating the responsibilities for authorizing transactions, processing and recording them, reviewing the transactions, and handling any related assets so that no one individual controls all key aspects of a transaction or event.



